A New Class of Photolabile Chelators for the Rapid Release of Divalent Cations: Generation of Caged Ca and Caged Mg

G. C. R. Ellis-Davies and J. H. Kaplan*

Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6085

Received June 16, 1987

The synthesis of 2-nitro-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl derivatives of EGTA and EDTA is reported. These tetracarboxylate chelators are designed for the rapid photochemically initiated release of divalent cations in intact physiological systems. The key step in the synthesis is the use of high-pressure synthetic technology for the construction of the tertiary amine moiety of both types of chelator.

Calcium plays an important role as a "second messenger" in a variety of physiological processes and the regulation of cellular Ca²⁺ is central to the control of excitationcontraction coupling in muscle and excitation-secretion coupling in many systems.¹ Magnesium is a necessary cofactor in ATP-dependent enzymatic processes including ion pumps, the myosin ATPase in muscle, and a variety of kinases; it is also necessary in most processes where organophosphates are enzymatic substrates.² Therefore the ability to regulate rapidly the concentration of these divalent cations for the quantitative study of the kinetics of such processes with minimal perturbation to the system is clearly a very desirable goal.³ One general approach to the rapid release of physiologically significant organic molecules and cations is the "caging" of their active functionality by using the photoremovable o-nitrobenzyl group.⁴ This approach was first utilized by us with caged ATP,⁵ where a o-nitrobenzyl ester of ATP releases ATP upon illumination.





We have recently applied this general photochemical regulatory protocol to produce a step increase in the concentration of calcium and magnesium ions.⁶ In this paper we describe the synthesis of molecules designed to be novel divalent cation chelators which on exposure to ultraviolet light are photocleaved. The photolysis products bind divalent cations much less tightly than do the intact chelators. It was envisioned that o-nitrophenyl-substituted derivatives of EDTA and EGTA would serve initially as chelators having high affinities for the divalent cations, which would, upon specific chemical transformation (i.e., photochemical irradiation), allow the rapid release of the bound species (Scheme I). The basis for the increase in, for example, the free calcium ion concentration is based on the great difference in affinities for calcium ion between the tetracarboxylate chelators (K_d ca. 10^{-8} M at neutral pH) and the diacetic acid products (K_d ca. 10^{-3} M at neutral pH).

Results and Discussion

Our initial priority was the synthesis of a caged calcium species, and we hoped that substitution of an aromatic moiety for a proton on the ethylenic subunit between the ethereal oxygens would not disturb the chelator's affinity too much; however, we envisioned that the construction of the vicinal ether linkages would prove less than



straightforward. Therefore, we chose as our first synthetic target an analogue of EGTA in which the ethylenic subunit between the ethereal oxygens was replaced by a methylenic moiety bearing the aromatic chromophore. This change in the structure of EGTA allowed us rapid and facile synthetic access to a molecule, 2, which we hoped would have similar chelation properties to EGTA itself.

The diiodide 1 could be synthesized (see Scheme II) in large quantities by acetalization of 6-nitroveraldehyde with 2-bromoethanol, followed by halogen exchange. However, the amination of 1 with iminodiacetic acid, diethyl ester proved to be surprisingly troublesome. The use of a wide variety of solvents and organic or inorganic bases led, only at best, to the monoaminated product. Diamination could not be achieved by using standard thermal methods; only diminished yields of unidentified side products were isolated. The desired transformation was finally accomplished with recourse to high-pressure synthetic technology. Thus, diiodide 1 was dissolved in excess secondary amine, and the solution was subjected to 5000 psi for 8 days to yield compound 2, as the sole product, in 65% yield. This is, as far as we know, the first example of the use of high-pressure chemistry to effect the alkylation of

0022-3263/88/1953-1966\$01.50/0 © 1988 American Chemical Society

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

For a review, see: Duncan, C. Ed. Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol. 1976, 30.
 Review: Walsh, C. Enzymatic Reaction Mechanisms; W. H. Freeman: San Francisco, 1979.

⁽³⁾ Lester, H. A.; Nerbonne, J. M. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Bioeng. 1982, 11, 151. For an alternative approach to this problem, see: Tsien, R. Y.; Zucker, R. S. Biophys. J. 1986, 50, 843.

⁽⁴⁾ For a recent review of photoremovable protecting groups, see: Binkley, R. W.; Flechtner, T. W. In Synthetic Organic Photochemistry; Horspool, W. M., Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1984; p 375.

⁽⁵⁾ Kaplan, J. H.; Forbush, B.; Hoffman, J. F. Biochemistry 1978, 17, 1929.

⁽⁶⁾ Kaplan, J. H.; Ellis-Davies, G. C. R. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., in press.



^aReagents: (a) 2-bromoethanol, TsOH, benzene, reflux, 8 h; (b) NaI, acetone, reflux, 1 h; (c) iminodiacetic acid diethyl ester, 5000 psi, 8 or 21 days; (d) Ph₃PCH₂, THF, 0 °C, 1 h, then room temperature, 18 h; (e) OsO₄, MNO, t-BuOH/H₂O, room temperature, 45 h; (f) (i) *n*-Bu₂SnO, MeOH, reflux, 1 h; (ii) allyl iodide, *n*-Bu₄NI, PhMe, reflux, 24 h; (iii) NaH, allyl bromide, DME, room temperature, 1 h; (g) (i) O₃, CH₂Cl₂; (ii) NaBH₄, MeOH; (h) Ph₃P, imidazole, I₂, PhMe, 0.5 h; (i) Br₂, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 1 h; (j) base, BrCH₂CH₂OP', base = KH, P' = THP, $4 \rightarrow 10$; base = TlOEt, P' = TBS, or base = KH, P' = TBDPS, no reaction; base = KH, P' = TBS, $4 \rightarrow 12$; base = Na or NaH, $4 \rightarrow 3$; (k) H⁺; (l) 1 M NaOH/EtOH; (m) MgCl₂; (n) CaCl₂.

a secondary amine. Unfortunately, compound 2 had a disappointingly low affinity for Ca^{2+} compared with EGTA. Therefore, we turned to the synthesis of the 2-nitro-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl derivative of EGTA, 7.

The construction of diol 4 from o-nitroveratraaldehyde via styrene 3 was straightforward (see Scheme II, 80% overall yield). Thus, with good quantities of 4 in hand, we had to achieve bis two-carbon homologation of this diol, with subsequent functional group interconversion, to diiodide 6. The use of standard or modified Williamson ether formation conditions with the THP (tetrahydropyranyl) ether of iodo or bromoethanol, led to the smooth and rapid reformation of styrene 3 in quantitative yield. Changing the base to KH gave the desired product, 10, in 46% yield. However, THP removal using weak acid or Lewis acid yielded only diol 11. Recourse to other hyroxyl-protecting groups or alternative bases resulted either in protecting group transfer (with TBS, tert-butyldimethylsilyl) or no reaction (TIOEt and DPBS, diphenyltert-butylsilyl).

The high-yielding and clean transformation of 3 to 6 was finally accomplished in the following manner. Regiospecific alkylation of 3 at the primary hyroxyl position with allyl iodide via the tin ketal⁷ of 4, with subsequent alkylation of the benzylic hyroxyl using classical Williamson ether synthesis techniques, gave the diallyl ether 5 in 75% overall yield. On a large scale, it was found that high yields were best achieved when the monoallyl ether was isolated and purified. Transformation of 5 to 6 was accomplished by ozonolysis of 5 and in situ reduction of the ozonide to the corresponding diol, which was iodinated⁸ in excellent yield to give the diiodide 6. This was aminated at high pressure to afford the desired EGTA analogue 7.

The phenyl EDTA analogue 9 was synthesized by bromination of 3 to yield dibromide 8, which was subjected to high pressure amination to give the desired tetraester (Scheme II). It must be emphasized again that the transformation of 8 to 9 could not be accomplished under a wide variety of thermal conditions. Nor could 9 be synthesized from the ditosylate of 4 under these same thermal conditions. Equilibrium dissociation constants for the binding of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} to the tetrasodium salt of 9 have been determined. This was carried out by titrating the chelator with excess CaCl₂ and measuring the free $[Ca^{2+}]$ with a Ca²⁺-selective electrode, similar measurements were then performed in the presence of MgCl₂. Values were obtained for 9 of about 5.0×10^{-9} M (pH 7, 20 °C, 130 mM KCl) for the equilibrium dissociation content for Ca²⁺ and about 2×10^{-6} M for Mg²⁺. Compound 7 bound Ca²⁺ much more weakly, $K_d \sim 25 \times 10^{-6}$ M. The detailed experimental procedures and applications of these photolabile chelators in physiological systems is described elsewhere.⁶ In addition, we are studying in detail the photochemistry of these systems in order to quantitate the rate of cation release.

Experimental Section

o-Nitroveratraldehyde Bis(2-bromoethyl) Acetal. A solution of o-nitroveratraldehyde (10.0 g, 47.4 mmol) and 2bromoethanol (33.0 mL, 465 mmol) in toluene with tosic acid catalyst was heated at reflux for 8 h, and water was removed from the reaction mixture by azeotropic distillation. The solution was concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 30% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give 13.0 g (62%) of the dibromide as a yellow solid, mp 84–85 °C: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.57 (s, 1 H), 7.42 (s, 1 H), 6.32 (s, 1 H), 4.07–3.85 (m, 4 H), 4.02 (s, 3 H), 3.96 (s, 3 H), 3.56–3.47 (6 lines, 4 H); IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 3010, 1525, 1280; HRMS, C₁₃H₁₇Br₂NO₆ requires 440.9417, observed 440.9415.

o-Nitroveratraldehyde Bis(2-iodoethyl) Acetal (1). A solution of dibromide (5.0 g, 11.8 mmol) and sodium iodide (10.7 g, 71.1 mmol) was heated at reflux in dry acetone for 1 h. The solution was filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting solid was diluted with H_2O (100 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 100 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried and

⁽⁷⁾ Nashed, M. A.; Anderson, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 3503.
(8) Garegg, P. J.; Samuelsson, B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1980, 2866.

concentrated to give 6.34 g (100%) of diiodide 1 as a yellow solid, mp 102–103 °C: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.57 (s, 1 H), 7.43 (s, 1 H), 6.33 (s, 1 H), 4.04 (s, 3 H), 3.96 (s, 3 H), 3.91–3.79 (m, 4 H), 3.31 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 4 H); IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 3010, 1530, 1280; HRMS, C₁₃H₁₇NO₆I₂ required 536.9146, observed 536.9197.

o-Nitroveratraldehyde Bis[2-(bis((ethoxycarbonyl)methyl)amino)ethyl] Acetal (2). A solution of diiodide 1 (1.15 g, 2.13 mmol) in iminodiacetic acid diethyl ester (2.39 g, 12.6 mmol) was subjected to a pressure of 5000 psi for 8 days. Flash chromatographic purification (elution with 15% hexanes in ethyl acetate) gave 0.698 g (65%) of tetraester 2 as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.48 (s, 1 H), 7.28 (s, 1 H), 6.09 (s, 1 H), 4.07 (q, J = 6.1 Hz, 8 H), 3.95 (s, 3 H), 3.89 (s, 3 H), 3.75–3.56 (m, 4 H), 3.54 (s, 8 H), 2.95 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 4 H), 1.18 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 12 H); IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 3010, 1740, 1530, 1280; HRMS, C₂₉-H₄₅N₃O₁₄ requires 659.2903, M + 1 observed 660.2980.

2-Nitro-4,5-dimethoxystyrene (3). To a magnetically stirred suspension of methyl triphenylphosphonium bromide (22.02 g, 61.6 mmol) in dry THF at 0 °C under N2 was added a 1 M solution of sodium hexamethyldisilazide in the same solvent (61.6 mL, 61.6 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, a solution of o-nitroveratraldehyde (10.0 g, 47.4 mmol) in dry THF (100 mL) was added by canulation, and stirring was continued for an additional 18 h at room temperature. A solution of saturated NH₄Cl (200 mL) was added and the product extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 150 mL), dried, and concentrated. Flash chromatographic purification of the residue on silica gel (elution with 25% ethyl acetate in hexanes) furnished 8.13 g (82%) of styrene 3 as a yellow solid, mp 58-60 °C: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59 (s, 1 H, 7.31 (dd, J = 10.9, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.97 (s, 1 H), 5.64 (dd, J = 17.3, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.34 (dd, J = 10.9, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.00(s, 1 H), 3.95 (s, 1 H); IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 3010, 1515, 1330, 1275, 1210, 770, 725; HRMS, $C_{10}H_{11}NO_4$ requires 209.0688, M + 1 observed 210.0761.

1-(2-Nitro-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diol (4). To a magnetically stirred solution of 3 (3.8 g 18.2 mmol) was added osmium tetraoxide (0.0457 mg 0.18 mmol) in *tert*-butyl alcohol (2.34 mL) and 4-methylmoroholine N-oxide (2.35 g, 20.0 mmol) as an 80% solution in H₂O. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 45 h, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with ethyl acetate) to give 4.00 g (90%) of diol 4 as a yellow solid, mp 118–120 °C (0.175 g, 0.837 mmol of starting material was recovered, the yield was thus 95% based on consumed starting material): ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.53 (s, 1 H), 7.11 (s, 1 H), 6.22 (dd, J = 5.7, 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.07–4.00 (m, 2 H), 4.02 (s, 1 H), 3.97 (s, 1 H); IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 3600, 3400, 3010, 1535, 1280; HRMS, C₁₀H₁₃NO₆ requires 243.0739, observed 243.0748.

1-(2-Nitro-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,2-bis(allyloxy)ethane (5). Residual water was removed from a mixture of diol 4 (1.0 g, 4.11 mmol) and di-n-butyltin oxide (1.075 g, 4.32 mmol) by azeotropic distillation with toluene. The suspension was concentrated in vacuo, dry methanol (30 mL) was added, and the solution was refluxed under N2 for 2 h. The solution was concentrated, and tetra-n-butylammonium iodide (3.04 g, 4.11 mmol), dry allyl iodide (1.38 g, 16.2 mmol), and dry toluene were added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 22 h, diluted with H_2O (50 mL), and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 75 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried and concentrated, and the residue was subjected to flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 50% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give 0.200 g (20%) of recovered starting material and 0.889 g (80%) monoallyl ether (100% based on recovered starting material). To a stirred suspension of NaH (0.10 g, 4.17 mmol) in dry DME (10 mL) under N₂ was added a solution of the monoallyl ether (0.889 g, 3.29 mmol) and dry allyl bromide (1.40 g, 11.6 mmol) in dry DME (10 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, H₂O (50 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried, concentrated, and subjected to flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 50% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give 0.796 g (75%) of diallyl ether 5 as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) & 7.76 (s, 1 H), 7.16 (s, 1 H), 6.00-5.83 (m, 2 H), 5.40 (dd, $J=3.1,\,6.6$ Hz, 1 H), 5.32–5.13 (m, 4 H), 4.16–4.02 (m, 4 H), 4.00 (s, 3 H), 3.97 (s, 3 H), 3.73 (dd, $J=3.1,\,8.8$ Hz, 1 H), 3.64 (dd, J = 6.6, 8.8 Hz, 1 H); IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 1515, 1270; HRMS,

C₁₆H₂₁NO₆ requires 323.1367, observed 323.1368.

1-(2-Nitro-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethane. Ozone was bubbled through a cold (-78 °C) magnetically stirred solution of diallyl ether 5 (0.783 g, 2.43 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL) until the solution was blue. Solvent evaporation left a residue, which was taken up in methanol (40 mL) and NaBH₄ (0.55 g, 12.2 mmol) was added to a magnetically stirred, cold (0 °C) solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for a further 18 h at room temperature, dilute HCl solution (20 mL) was added, and the product was extracted with $CHCl_3$ (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic were dried, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 10% methanol in ethyl acetate) to give 0.523 g (65%) of the diol as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.64 (s, 1 H), 7.29 (s, 1 H), 5.43 (dd, J = 2.6, 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.99 (s, 3 H), 3.96 (s, 3 H), 3.89-3.60 (m, 10 H), 3.51 (dd, J = 3.1, 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.47 (dd, J = 7.8, 5.8 Hz, 1 H); IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 3440, 3000, 2915, 1520, 1335, 1275, 1055.

1-(2-Nitro-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,2-bis(2-iodoethoxy)ethane (6). To a magnetically stirred solution in toluene (20 mL) of the diol (0.110 g, 0.332 mmol) were added triphenylphosphine (0.700 g, 2.66 mmol), imidazole (0.180 g, 2.66 mmol) and iodine (0.500 g, 1.99 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h, saturated NaHCO₃ (20 mL) was added, the reaction mixture was stirred for a further 5 min, then enough I_2 was added to color the organic phase, and the reaction mixture was stirred for a further 10 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with H_2O (50 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 75 mL). The combined organic layers were dried, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give 0.155 g (91%) of the diiodide as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.64 (s, 1 H), 7.36 (s, 1 H), 5.39 (dd, J = 2.8, 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.05 (s, 3 H), 3.96 (s, 3 H), 3.89–3.64 (m, 6 H), 3.36–3.25 (m, 4 H); IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 1515, 1330, 1270. HRMS, C14H17I2NO6 requires 548.9148, observed 548.9145.

7-(2-Nitro-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-3,12-bis[(ethoxycarbonyl)methyl]-6,9-dioxa-3,12-diazatetradecanedioic Acid Diethyl Ester (7). A solution of diiodide 6 (0.166 g, 0.301 mmol) in iminodiacetic acid diethyl ester (0.285 g, 1.505 mmol) was subjected to a pressure of 5000 psi for 8 days. Flash chromatographic purification (elution with 15% hexanes in ethyl acetate) gave 0.136 g (65%) of 7 as a yellow oil (and unreacted secondary amine): ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.52 (s, 1 H), 7.16 (s, 1 H), 5.21 (dd, J = 3.3, 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.16-4.01 (m, 8 H), 3.92 (s, 3 H), 3.87 (s, 3 H), 3.66-3.43 (m, 6 H), 3.52 (s, 4 H), 3.51 (s, 4 H), 2.89 (m, 4 H), 1.27 (m, 12 H); IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 3010, 1735, 1540, 1280; HRMS, C₃₀H₄₇N₃O₁₄ requires 696.2970, observed 696.2950.

1-(2-Nitro-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl 1,2-Dibromide (8). To a cold (0 °C) magnetically stirred solution of styrene 3 (8.13 g, 38.9 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (150 mL) was added bromine (6.39 g, 0.040 mmol). The reaction mixture was extracted with a saturated Na₂S₂O₃ solution (100 mL), and the organic phase was dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 14.35 g (100%) dibromide 8 as a yellow solid, mp 47-52 °C: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.53 (s, 1 H), 7.11 (s, 1 H), 6.20 (dd, J = 5.7, 9.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.07-3.99 (m, 2 H), 4.02 (s, 3 H), 3.97 (s, 3 H); IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 3005, 1535, 1280. HRMS, C₁₀H₁₁Br₂NO₄ requires 368.9035, observed 368.9034.

1-(2-Nitro-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-N, N, N', N'-tetrakis-[(ethoxycarbonyl)methyl]-1,2-ethanediamine (9). A solution of dibromide 8 (1.37 g, 3.72 mmol) in iminodiacetic acid diethyl ester (3.52 g, 18.6 mmol) was subjected to 5000 psi for 21 days. Flash chromatographic purification on silica gel (elution with 30% ethyl acetate in hexanes) gave 0.980 g (44%) tetraester 9 as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (s, 1 H), 7.43 (s, 1 H), 5.13 (dd, J = 7.1, 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.13-4.03 (8 lines, 8 H), 3.95 (s, 3 H), 3.88 (s, 3 H), 3.78-3.42 (m, 8 H), 3.14 (dd, J = 7.1, 13.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.89 (dd, J = 8.9, 13.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.24-1.16 (6 lines, 12 H); IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 3010, 1735, 1540, 1280; HRMS, C₂₆H₃₉N₃O₁₂ requires 585.2535, M + 1 observed 586.2612.

Iminodiacetic Acid Diethyl Ester. To a magnetically stirred suspension of iminodiacetic acid (50 g, 0.376 mol) in absolute ethanol (1000 mL) under N₂ was added boron trifluoride etherate (100 g, 1.127 mmol). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 days and concentrated in vacuo to a volume of 150 mL, saturated NaHCO₃ solution (500 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture

was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 250 mL). The combined organic layers were dried and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was distilled at 10 mmHg to give 65.2 g (92%) of iminodiacetic acid diethyl ester as a colorless liquid: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.18 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 4 H), 3.45 (s, 4 H), 1.92 (s, 1 H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6 H); IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 3320, 3010, 2990, 1740, 1230, 1190, 1090; HRMS, C₈H₁₅NO₄ requires 189.1002, observed 189.1001.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the NIH (HL15835 to the Pennsylvania Muscle Institute and

HL30315 to J.H.K.). We also thank Prof. Amos B. Smith, III, for his generosity in allowing us use of his IR, NMR, and high-pressure apparatus. J.H.K. is the recipient of Research Career Development Award (K-05-HL01092).

Registry No. 1, 113430-84-5; 1 (dibromide), 113430-93-6; 2, 113430-85-6; 3, 65907-71-3; 4, 113430-86-7; 5, 113430-87-8; 6, 113430-88-9; 6 (diol), 113430-92-5; 7, 113430-89-0; 8, 113430-90-3; 9, 113430-91-4; Ca²⁺, 14127-61-8; Mg²⁺, 22537-22-0; Br(CH₂)₂OH, 540-51-2; HN(CH₂CO₂Et)₂, 6290-05-7; HN(CH₂CO₂H)₂, 142-73-4; o-nitroveratraldehyde, 20357-25-9.

Synthesis and Chiroptical Properties of (1S)-[2,5-²H₂]Bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5,7-triene (2,5-Dideuteriobarrelene)

David A. Lightner,^{*1a} Leo A. Paquette,^{*1b} Paochai Chayangkoon,^{1a} Ho-Shen Lin,^{1b} and John R. Peterson^{1b,c}

Department of Chemistry, University of Nevada-Reno, Reno, Nevada 89557, and Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

Received November 5, 1987

(1S)- $[2,5-{}^{2}H_{2}]$ Bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5,7-triene (1) (2,5-dideuteriobarrelene) was prepared from (1*R*)-bicyclo-[2.2.2]oct-7-ene-2,5-dione by LiAlD₄ reduction followed by pyrolysis of the bis[*O*-*p*-tolyl thionocarbonate] ester of the resulting dideuterio diol. The circular dichroism of 1 ($\Delta \epsilon_{max}^{24} = +0.008$, $\Delta \epsilon_{max}^{215} \simeq -0.07$) is the first example obtained from a deuteriated longicyclically conjugated triene, whose chirality is due only to isotopic substitution.

Introduction

Barrelene² (2, bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5,7-triene), with its D_{3h} symmetry and longicyclically homoconjugated system of three carbon-carbon double bonds, has long interested chemists, especially from the standpoint of its chemical reactivity and its molecular and electronic structure. Strong nonbonded repulsions of the π centers lead to stretching of the C-C single bonds³, and hence considerable intramolecular strain, as detected (i) by the largest (-37.57)kcal/mol) heat of hydrogenation⁴ ever observed for saturation of a C=C bond, (ii) by a low barrier for thermal decomposition into acetylene and benzene, and (iii) by facile photochemical rearrangement to semibullvalene.⁶ Dominant through-space interaction among the π orbitals leads to splitting of the three π levels into a totally antibonding π HOMO (α_2') and two lower energy, more bonding e' (π) combinations, as detected by photoelectron spectroscopy: IP = 8.23, 9.65, and 10.02 eV.^7 Here again, intramolecular strain is evident by the comparatively low IP value (8.23 eV) vs that of, e.g., bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5diene (8.87 eV). Further evidence for unusual π -orbital interaction may be found in the near-UV spectrum of barrelene, for which two transitions have been detected $[\epsilon_{\text{max}}^{239} 320, \epsilon_{\text{max}}^{208} 1120 \text{ (ethanol^2)}]; cf. norbornadiene <math>[\epsilon_{\text{sh}}^{230} 200, \epsilon_{\text{sh}}^{220} 870, \epsilon_{\text{sh}}^{213} \text{ ccp } 1550, \epsilon_{\text{sh}}^{202} 2400].^{8}$ Attempts to explain the electronic structure and spectrum from theory include the initial π -electron PPP study in 1960⁹ to more recent¹⁰ SCF-CI MO treatments.

In view of our previously reported success in detecting hidden electronic transitions in norbornadiene by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy⁸, we initiated a similar study of transannular orbital interactions in barrelene. Our chiral, target molecule was dideuteriobarrelene (1), whose synthesis and CD spectrum we describe in this work.

Synthesis and Stereochemistry

Previous synthetic work on the synthesis of barrelene (2) provided two short, independent methods for the preparation of dideuteriobarrelene (1).^{11,12} For both methods, the key chiral intermediate was bicyclo[2.2.2]oct-7-ene-2,5-dione (3a + 3b), which was available in three steps from hydroquinone and maleic anhydride: (1) Diels-Alder cycloaddition to afford (±)-5,7-dioxobicyclol[2,2,2]octane-2,3-dicarboxylic anhydride in low yield (15%), (2) hydrolysis to the corresponding dicarboxylic acid, and (3) lead tetraacetate or electrolytic double decarboxylation. The low yield in step 1 is not a major disadvantage because the starting materials are relatively

 ⁽a) University of Nevada—Reno.
 (b) The Ohio State University.
 (c) National Institutes of Health Postdoctoral Fellow.
 (2) (a) Zimmerman, H. E.; Paufler, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82,

^{(2) (}a) Zimmerman, H. E.; Pauller, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 1514-1515. (b) Zimmerman, H. E.; Grunewald, G. L.; Paufler, R. M.; Sherwin, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 2330-2338.

⁽³⁾ Yamamato, S.; Nakata, M.; Fukuyama, T.; Kuchitsu, K.; Hasselmann, D.; Ermer, O. J. Phys. Chem. 1982, 86, 529-533.

⁽⁴⁾ Turner, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 3586-3587.

⁽⁵⁾ Samson, E. Staatsarbeit, Rühr-Universität, Böchum, 1979. Reported in ref 3. See also ref 2b.

⁽⁶⁾ Zimmerman, H. E.; Binkley, R. W.; Givens, R. S.; Grunewald, G. L.; Sherwin M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 3316-3323.

⁽⁷⁾ Haselbach, E.; Heilbronner, E.; Schröder, G. Helv. Chim. Acta 1971, 54, 153-162.

^{(8) (}a) Lightner, D. A.; Gawronski, J. K.; Bouman, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5749-5754.
(b) Paquette, L. A.; Doecke, C. W.; Kearney, F. R.; Drake, A. F.; Mason, S. F. Ibid. 1980, 102, 7228-7233.

 ⁽⁹⁾ Wilcox, C. F.; Winstein, S.; McMillan, W. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 1960, 82, 5450-5454.

 ⁽¹⁰⁾ Meyer, A. Y.; Pasternak, R. Tetrahedron 1977, 33, 3239-3241.
 (11) Jefford, C. W.; Wallace, T. W.; Acar, M. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 1654-1655.

⁽¹²⁾ Weitemeyer, C.; Preuss, T.; de Meijere, A. Chem. Ber. 1985, 118, 3993-4005.